,	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/530,965	WARPEHOSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hong Liu	1624
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Hong Liu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Cummingham.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 November 2002.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4 and 6</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants presented arguments on the 112, first paragraph, and 103(a) rejections.</u> <u>In view of applicants' arguments, the examiner will reconsider the "negative limitation" rejection. The 103(a) rejection will be considered favorably provided no prior art is found.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments t	
 i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked). 	parate record of the sub	stance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	(See MPEP Section 71 MONTH FROM THIS IN	3.04). If a reply to the last Office TERVIEW DATE TO FILE A
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required